	Case 2:21-cv-00358-TLN-DB Document	t 45 Filed	d 06/12/24	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JUAN CARLOS CALDERON,	No. 2:2	21-cv-00358	-TLN-DB	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	ORDE	R		
14	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	This matter is before the Court on Pro	Se Plaintiff	Juan Carlo	s Calderon's ("Plaintiff")	
18					
19	Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service ¹ ("IRS") (collectively,				
20	"Defendants") did not file an opposition. For the reasons set forth below, the Court DENIES				
21	Plaintiff's motion.				
22 23	///				
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27					
28	Plaintiff erroneously sued the Internal Revenue Department but subsequently corrected the typographical error. (<i>See</i> ECF Nos. 1, 14.)				
,	1				

Case 2:21-cv-00358-TLN-DB Document 45 Filed 06/12/24 Page 2 of 3

1	A detailed recitation of the factual history is not necessary for the disposition of this case.			
2	In short, Plaintiff is an undocumented person from Mexico, currently incarcerated by the			
3	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation at Mule Creek State Prison. (ECF No.			
4	33 at 2.) In November 2020, Plaintiff submitted a request to the IRS for an economic impact			
5	payment under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the "CARES Act") (26			
6	U.S.C. § 6428), but the IRS rejected Plaintiff's request because he did not have a social security			
7	number. (Id.) Plaintiff alleged the IRS's denial of his request for financial aid under the CARES			
8	Act was discrimination based on his status as an undocumented person from Mexico in violation			
9	of his right to equal protection under the law. (Id.) Plaintiff commenced this action, seeking			
10	payment of the denied CARES Act funds and reimbursement for the filing fee and other costs.			
11	(<i>Id.</i> at 2–3.)			
12	On June 5, 2023, the magistrate judge made findings and recommended ("F&Rs") the			
13	Court grant Defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction because Plaintiff failed to			
14	exhaust his administrative remedies. (ECF No. 33.) Plaintiff was served with the F&Rs via U.S.			
15	mail that same day and any objections were due within thirty days. Plaintiff did not timely file			
16	any objections.			
17	On August 7, 2023, the Court adopted the F&Rs in full and dismissed this action with			
18	prejudice. (ECF No. 34.) The Clerk of Court entered Judgment that same day. (ECF No. 35.)			
19	On August 21, 2023, Plaintiff filed objections to the F&Rs and a Notice of Appeal. (ECF			
20	Nos. 36, 37.) Defendants filed a response to Plaintiff's objections, arguing the Court should deny			
21	Plaintiff's objections as untimely or because there are no grounds to alter or amend the Court's			
22	judgment. (ECF No. 40.) The Court declined to consider Plaintiff's objections because they			
23	were untimely, and in any event, the objections did not change the Court's determination and			
24	Plaintiff's appeal divested the Court of jurisdiction. (ECF No. 41.) On May 6, 2024, the Ninth			

Prior to the Ninth Circuit's ruling, Plaintiff filed the instant motion which appears to resemble a habeas petition or a new complaint that purports to assert claims under state and federal law related to his time spent at Mule Creek State Prison. (ECF No. 43.) Defendants did

Circuit dismissed Plaintiff's appeal for failure to prosecute. (ECF No. 44.)

not file an opposition or otherwise respond. Having reviewed the instant motion, the Court finds the issues raised by Plaintiff in the motion are distinct from the merits of the issues originally raised in this action. (See id.) Moreover, Plaintiff fails to cite any coherent basis for relief. To the extent Plaintiff seeks to bring new claims, he should file a new action. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's Motion for Personal Legal Pleading for Justice (ECF No. 43) and will not consider further filings in this closed case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: June 11, 2024 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge

Case 2:21-cv-00358-TLN-DB Document 45 Filed 06/12/24 Page 3 of 3